arXiv:1610.09171v1 [math.NT] 28 Oct 2016

MSC 11L05

On Kloosterman sums with multiplicative coefficients

M.A. Korolev[1)]

Abstract. The series of some new estimates for the sums of the type

$$S_q(x;f) \,=\, \sideset{}{'}\sum_{n\leqslant x} f(n)e_q(an^*+bn)$$

is obtained. Here q is a sufficiently large integer, $\sqrt{q}(\log q)\ll x\leqslant q$, a,b are integers, $(a,q)=1$, $e_q(v)=e^{2\pi iv/q}$, $f(n)$ is a multiplicative function, $nn^*\equiv 1 \pmod q$ and the prime sign means that $(n,q)=1$. These estimates improve the previous results of such type belonging to K. Gong and C. Jia.

Keywords: inverse residues, multiplicative functions, Kloosterman sums

§1. Introduction

Here we prove a series of some new estimates for incomplete weighted Kloosterman sums, that is, for the sums of the following type:

$$S_q(x;f) \,=\, \sideset{}{'}\sum_{n\leqslant x} f(n)e_q(an^*+bn). \tag{1}$$

Here q is a sufficiently large integer, $\sqrt{q}\,(\log q)\ll x\leqslant q$, is any fixed number, a,b are integers, $(a,q)=1$, $e_q(v)=e^{2\pi iv/q}$, $f(n)$ is a multiplicative function and the prime sign means that $(n,q)=1$. The symbol n^* stands for the inverse residue for $n \pmod q$, that is, for the solution of the congruence $nn^*\equiv 1 \pmod q$.

The sums (1) with Möbius function $f(n)=\mu(n)$ were studied in [4], [7], [15] and the following estimate was obtained in two last papers:

$$S_q(x;f) \,\ll\, x\tau(q)\big(q^{-1/2}(\log x)^{5/2} \,+\, q^{1/5}x^{-1/5}(\log x)^{13/5}\big), \tag{2}$$

where, as usual, $\tau_k(q)$ is the divisor function, $\tau(q)=\tau_2(q)$. Since $\tau(q)\ll q^{\varepsilon}$ for any fixed $\varepsilon>0$, one can check that the estimate (2) is non-trivial if

$$q^{1+\varepsilon}\ll x\ll \exp\big(q^{1/5-\varepsilon}\big).$$

Recently, K. Gong and C. Jia [6] proved that in the case $b\equiv 0 \pmod q$ the inequality

$$S_q(x;f) \,\ll\, x\Big(\big(\tau(q)q^{-1}\log\log q\big)^{1/2}\log\log x \,+\, q^{1/4+\varepsilon}x^{-1/2}(\log x)^{1/2} \,+\, (\log\log x)^{-1/2}\Big) \tag{3}$$

[1)]This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 14-11-00433 and performed in Steklov Mathematical Institure of Russian Academy of Sciences.

holds for any multiplicative function $f(n)$ satisfying the condition $|f(n)| \leqslant 1$. Obviously, this bound is non-trivial when

$$q^{1/2+\delta_1} \ll x \ll \exp\left(\exp\left(q^{1/2-\delta_2}\right)\right)$$

for some positive $\delta_j = \delta_j(\varepsilon) > 0$.

The aim of this paper is to improve a general bound (3) and to obtain some particular estimates for $S_q(x; f)$ for $\sqrt{q}\,(\log q) \ll x \leqslant q$ and for some particular functions $f(n)$. The main results of the paper are the following (in theorems 1-4, $f(n)$ denotes any multiplicative function satisfying the condition $|f(n)| \leqslant 1$).

THEOREM 1. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 0.5$ be any fixed constant, $q \geqslant q_1(\varepsilon)$ is a sufficiently large integer, and suppose that $q^{1/2+\varepsilon} \ll x \leqslant q$. Then the following estimate holds:*

$$|S_q(x; f)| \leqslant 562\, x\, \frac{\log\log q}{\varepsilon \log q}.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let $\gamma > 0$ be any fixed constant; $q \geqslant q_2(\gamma)$ is a sufficiently large integer, and suppose that*

$$\sqrt{q}\, e^{(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}} \leqslant x \leqslant q.$$

If $\tau(q) \leqslant e^{0.25(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}$ then the following estimate holds:

$$|S_q(x; f)| \leqslant x\, \frac{562(2+\gamma)}{(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}.$$

THEOREM 3. *Let $\gamma > 0$ be any fixed constant, $q \geqslant q_3(\gamma)$ is a sufficiently large integer, and suppose that*

$$\sqrt{q}\,(\log q)^{1+2\gamma} \leqslant x \leqslant q.$$

If $\tau(q) \leqslant (\log q)^{\gamma/4}$ then the following estimate holds:

$$|S_q(x; f)| \leqslant 281\, x\, \frac{\log\log\log q}{\gamma \log\log q}.$$

THEOREM 4. *Let $\gamma > 0$ be any fixed constant, $q \geqslant q_4(\gamma)$ is a sufficiently large prime, and suppose that*

$$x \geqslant \sqrt{q}(\log q) e^{(\log\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}.$$

Then the following estimate holds:

$$|S_q(x; f)| \leqslant \frac{562\, x}{(\log\log\log q)^{\gamma}}.$$

In some cases, the sum $S_q(x; f)$ is estimated with power-saving factor. Such estimates are based on the bounds for double Kloosterman sums obtained by J. Bourgain [2, Appendix]. In particular, the following assertions hold true.

THEOREM 5. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 0.1$ be any fixed constant, $q \geqslant q_4(\varepsilon)$ is prime and suppose that $q^{1/2+\varepsilon} \leqslant x \leqslant q$. Then*

$$\sum_{n \leqslant x} \mu(n) e_q(an^* + bn) \ll_\varepsilon x q^{-c\varepsilon^4}$$

for some absolute constant $c > 0$.

THEOREM 6. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 0.1$ be any fixed constant, $k \geqslant 1$ is a fixed integer, $q \geqslant q_5(\varepsilon; k)$ is prime and suppose that $q^{1/2+\varepsilon} \leqslant x \leqslant q$. Then*

$$\sum_{n \leqslant x} \tau_k(n) e_q(an^* + bn) \ll_{k,\varepsilon} x q^{-c\varepsilon^4}$$

for some constant $c = c(k) > 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This work was stimulated by fruitful discussions with professors Chaohua Jia (Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica) and Ke Gong (Henan University) in Academy of Mathematics and System Science, CAS (Beijing) during "Chinese–Russian workshop of exponential sums and sumsets" (November, 2015). The author is warmly grateful to them for the support and hospitality.

§2. Auxiliary assertions

The below assertions are necessary for the proof of theorems 1–6.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that $2 \leqslant y \leqslant x$ and let $\Phi(x, y)$ be the quantity of numbers $n \leqslant x$ free of prime divisors $\leqslant y$. Then*

$$\Phi(x, y) \leqslant \frac{x}{\log y} + \frac{13.5x}{(\log y)^2}.$$

This estimate can be derived by standard technic of Selberg's sieve. The details of the proof are contained in [10].

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that $15 \leqslant y < x$ and let $\Psi(x, y)$ be the quantity of numbers $n \leqslant x$ free of prime divisors $> y$. Then*

$$\Psi(x, y) \leqslant Cxe^{-u/2}, \quad u = \frac{\log x}{\log y}, \quad C = 67.21.$$

PROOF. We will follow the proof of Theorem 1 from [14, Part III, ch. III.5, §5.1]. Setting $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}(\log y)^{-1}$, we get

$$\Psi(x, y) \leqslant x^{3/4} + x^{-3\alpha/4} \sum_{n \leqslant x} f(n),$$

where

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} n^\alpha, & \text{if } P(n) \leqslant y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $P(n)$ denotes the largest prime divisor of n. Next, for any prime $p \leqslant y$ and any $\nu \geqslant 1$ we obviously have

$$f(p^\nu) \,=\, p^{\alpha\nu} \,=\, \exp\left(\frac{2\nu}{3}\,\frac{\log p}{\log y}\right) \leqslant e^{2\nu/3}.$$

Hence, using Theorem 9 from [13], we have for any $z \geqslant 2$:

$$\sum_{p\leqslant z} f(p)\log p \leqslant e^{2/3} \sum_{p\leqslant \min(y,z)} \log p \,<\, A\min(y,z) \leqslant Az,$$

where $A = 1.01624e^{2/3}$. Next, the inequalities

$$\alpha \leqslant \frac{2}{3\log 15} \,<\, \frac{1}{4}$$

yield that

$$\sum_{p,\nu\geqslant 2} \frac{f(p^\nu)}{p^\nu}\,\log p^\nu \leqslant \sum_{p\leqslant y}\sum_{\nu\geqslant 2} \frac{\nu\log p}{p^{3\nu/4}} \leqslant \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^{3/2}}\,\frac{2-p^{-3/4}}{(1-p^{-3/4})^2} \,<\, B,$$

where $B = 5.28475$. Thus, Theorem 5 from [14, Part III, ch. III.3, §3.5] implies that

$$\sum_{n\leqslant x} f(n) \leqslant (A+B+1)\,\frac{x}{\log x}\sum_{n\leqslant x}\frac{f(n)}{n}.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{\log x} \leqslant \frac{1}{\log y} \leqslant \prod_{p\leqslant y}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right),$$

then

$$\frac{1}{\log x}\sum_{n\leqslant x}\frac{f(n)}{n} \leqslant \frac{1}{\log x}\prod_{p\leqslant y}\sum_{\nu\geqslant 0}\frac{f(p^\nu)}{p^\nu} \leqslant \prod_{p\leqslant y}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha}}\right)^{-1} =$$

$$= \prod_{p\leqslant y}\left(1+\frac{p^\alpha-1}{p}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha}}\right)^{-1}\right).$$

Now one can check that

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1-\alpha}}\right)^{-1} \leqslant \left(1-\frac{1}{2^{1-\alpha}}\right)^{-1} \,<\, \left(1-2^{-3/4}\right)^{-1} \,<\, \frac{5}{2},$$

$$p^\alpha - 1 \,<\, \alpha(\log p)\big(1+0.5\alpha p^\alpha\big) \leqslant \alpha(\log p)\left(1+\frac{e^{2/3}}{3\log y}\right) \,<\, \frac{5}{4}\,\alpha\log p$$

for any prime $p \leqslant y$. Using the corollary of Theorem 6 from [13], we find:

$$\frac{1}{\log x}\sum_{n\leqslant x}\frac{f(n)}{n} \leqslant \prod_{p\leqslant y}\left(1+\frac{25}{8}\,\alpha\,\frac{\log p}{p}\right) \,<\, \exp\left(\frac{25}{8}\,\alpha\sum_{p\leqslant y}\frac{\log p}{p}\right) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \exp\left(\frac{25}{8}\,\alpha\log y\right) \,=\, e^{25/12}.$$

Thus we obtain:

$$\sum_{n\leqslant x} f(n) \leqslant Dx, \quad D=(A+B+1)e^{25/12},$$

$$\Psi(x,y) \leqslant x^{3/4}+Dx^{1-3\alpha/4} = x^{1-3\alpha/4}\bigl(D+x^{-1/4+3\alpha/4}\bigr).$$

Since

$$-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{4}\,\alpha \leqslant -\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2\log 15} < -\frac{1}{15.3},$$

we finally get:

$$\Psi(x,y) \leqslant x^{1-3\alpha/4}\bigl(D+15^{-1/15.3}\bigr) < Cx\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right),$$

where $C=67.21$. $\square$

LEMMA 3. *Let $q\geqslant 3$, a,b, M,N be the integers, $1<N<q$. Then the following estimates hold:*

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{q}{}' e_q(an^*+bn)\right| \leqslant \tau(q)(a,b,q)^{1/2}q^{1/2},$$

$$\left|\sum_{M<n\leqslant M+N}{}' e_q(an^*+bn)\right| \leqslant 2\tau(q)(a,q)^{1/2}q^{1/2}\log q.$$

PROOF. The derivation of the first estimate from the classical A. Weil's theorem [16] is contained in the paper of T. Estermann [5]. The second estimate easily follows from the chain of relations

$$\sum_{M<n\leqslant M+N}{}' e_q(an^*+bn) = \sum_{n=1}^{q}{}'\left(\frac{1}{q}\sum_{-q/2<c\leqslant q/2}\ \sum_{M<m\leqslant M+N} e_q(c(n-m))\right)e_q(an^*+bn) =$$

$$= \sum_{-q/2<c\leqslant q/2}\frac{\gamma_c}{|c|+1}\sum_{n=1}^{q}{}' e_q(an^*+(b+c)n), \quad \gamma_c=\frac{|c|+1}{q}\left(\sum_{M<m\leqslant M+N} e_q(-cm)\right)$$

and the obvious inequalities $|\gamma_c|\leqslant 1$, $(a,b+c,q)\leqslant(a,q)$ for any c, $-q/2<c\leqslant q/2$.

LEMMA 4. *If $X\to+\infty$ then*

$$\prod_{p\leqslant X}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} = e^{\gamma}(\log X)\bigl(1+O\bigl(e^{-c\sqrt{\log X}}\bigr)\bigr), \quad c>0,$$

where γ denotes Euler's constant.

This is the consequence from prime number theorem (see, for example, [12, ch. 3, §5]).

§3. Proofs of theorems 1–4

First we prove the main lemma which allows one to derive theorems 1-4 in the uniform manner.

LEMMA 5. *Suppose that*

$$x \leqslant q, \quad \frac{x}{\sqrt{q}(\log q)(\log\log q)^2} \to +\infty,$$

and let $f(n)$ be any multiplicative function such that $|f(n)| \leqslant 1$. Then the sum

$$S_q(x; f) = \sum_{n \leqslant x}{}' f(n) e_q(an^* + bn)$$

satisfies the estimate $|S| \leqslant x(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3)$, where

$$\Delta_1 = (C+3)\frac{\log X}{\log Y}, \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{7\log\log q}{\sqrt{X\log X}}, \quad \Delta_3 = \frac{7}{2}\tau(q)\left(\frac{Y}{x}\sqrt{q}(\log q)(\log\log q)^2\right)^{1/2},$$

C is the constant from lemma 2, X and Y satisfy the following conditions

$$15 < X_0 < X < 0.5Y, \quad Y \leqslant \frac{x}{\sqrt{q}(\log q)(\log\log q)^2}. \tag{4}$$

PROOF. Let us choose any X, Y satisfying (4) and put $\mathcal{I} = (X, Y]$. Further, we denote denote by A_r the set of n, $1 \leqslant n \leqslant x$, $(n, q) = 1$, having exactly r prime divisors from $\mathcal{I}$ and counting with multiplicities ($r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$).

Then any $n \in A_0$ is expressed in the form $n = uv$ or in the form $n = u$ where all prime divisors of u does not exceed X (or $u = 1$) and all prime divisors of v are greater than Y. Fixing u, we have at most $\Phi(xu^{-1}, Y)$ possibilities for the factor v. Summing over u and using lemmas 1,4 we obtain that the number of $n = uv$, $n \in A_0$, does not exceed

$$N_1 = \sum_u \Phi\left(\frac{x}{u}, Y\right) \leqslant \frac{2x}{\log Y}\sum_u \frac{1}{u} \leqslant \frac{2x}{\log Y}\prod_{p \leqslant X}\left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \ldots\right) =$$

$$= \frac{2x}{\log Y}\prod_{p \leqslant X}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} < 3x\frac{\log X}{\log Y}.$$

Next, using the notations of lemma 2, we conclude that the number of $n = u$, $n \in A_0$ is less than

$$N_2 = \Psi(x, X) \leqslant Cx\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\log x}{\log X}\right), \quad C = 67.21.$$

In view of (4), we have $(\log x)/(2\log Y) \geqslant 1$. Thus we get:

$$N_2 \leqslant Cx\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\log x}{\log Y}\frac{\log Y}{\log X}\right) \leqslant Cx\exp\left(-\frac{\log Y}{\log X}\right) < Cx\frac{\log X}{\log Y}.$$

Hence, setting

$$S_r \,=\, \sum_{n\in A_r} f(n)e_q(an^*+bn),$$

we get

$$|S_0| \leqslant |A_0| \leqslant N_1+N_2 \,<\, (C+3)x\,\frac{\log X}{\log Y}.$$

Suppose now that $r\geqslant 1$ and consider all the products pm where p and m run independently the sets $\mathcal{I}$ and A_{r-1}. If $n\in A_r$ is not divisible by squares of primes from $\mathcal{I}$ then it arises exactly r times among these products with the conditions $(p,m)=1$, $(pm,q)=1$. Therefore,

$$S_r \,=\, \frac{1}{r}\sum_{X<p\leqslant Y}{}' \sum_{\substack{m\in A_{r-1}\\ pm\leqslant x,\,(p,m)=1}} f(p)f(m)e_q(ap^*m^*+bpm) \,+\, \theta s_r,$$

where $|\theta|\leqslant 1$ and s_r denotes the number of $n\in A_r$ divisible by p^2 for some $p\in\mathcal{I}$. If we omit the condition $(p,m)=1$ in the inner sum then the corresponding error is less than

$$\frac{1}{r}\sum_{p>X}\frac{x}{p^2} \,<\, \frac{xX^{-1}}{r}$$

in absolute value. Thus we obtain:

$$S_r \,=\, \frac{1}{r}\sum_{X<p\leqslant Y}{}' f(p)\sum_{m\in A_{r-1}} f(m)e_q(ap^*m^*+bpm) \,+\, \theta_1\biggl(s_r+\frac{xX^{-1}}{r}\biggr).$$

Now let us split $\mathcal{I}$ into intervals $Q<p\leqslant Q_1$ where $Q_1\leqslant 2Q$ and denote

$$S_r(Q) \,=\, \sum_{m\in A_{r-1}} f(m)\sum_{\substack{Q<p\leqslant Q_1\\ pm\leqslant x}}{}' f(p)e_q(ap^*m^*+bpm).$$

Since $m\leqslant xQ^{-1}$ for any m in the sum then

$$|S_r(Q)| \leqslant \sum_{m\leqslant xQ^{-1}}{}'\biggl|\sum_{\substack{Q<p\leqslant Q_1\\ p\leqslant x/m}}{}' f(p)e_q(ap^*m^*+bpm)\biggr|.$$

By Cauchy's inequality,

$$|S_r(Q)|^2 \leqslant xQ^{-1}\sum_{m\leqslant xQ^{-1}}{}'\sum_{\substack{Q<p_1,p_2\leqslant Q_1\\ p_1,p_2\leqslant x/m}}{}' f(p_1)\overline{f}(p_2)e_q(a(p_1^*-p_2^*)m^*+b(p_1-p_2)m) \,=$$

$$=\, xQ^{-1}\biggl(\sum_{m\leqslant xQ^{-1}}{}'\sum_{\substack{Q<p\leqslant Q_1\\ p\leqslant x/m}}{}' |f(p)|^2+2\,\mathrm{Re}\sum_{Q<p_1<p_2\leqslant Q_1}{}' f(p_1)\overline{f}(p_2)\sum_{1\leqslant m\leqslant M}{}' e_q(a_1m^*+b_1m)\biggr)\leqslant$$

$$\leqslant\, xQ^{-1}\biggl(xQ^{-1}\pi(Q_1) \,+\, 2\sum_{Q<p_1<p_2\leqslant Q_1}{}'\biggl|\sum_{1\leqslant m\leqslant M}{}' e_q(a_1m^*+b_1m)\biggr|\biggr),$$

where $M = xp_2^{-1}$, $a_1 = a(p_1^* - p_2^*)$, $b_1 = b(p_1 - p_2)$. Since $(p_1p_2, q) = 1$ then

$$p_1p_2(p_1^* - p_2^*) \equiv p_2 - p_1 \pmod q$$

and the numbers $a_1, p_2 - p_1$ has the same greatest common divisor with q: $(a_1, q) = (p_2 - p_1, q) = \delta$. Using lemma 3, we find

$$\left|\sum_{1 \leqslant m \leqslant M}{}' e_1(a_1m^* + b_1m)\right| \leqslant 2\tau(q)\sqrt{q}(\log q)\sqrt{\delta},$$

$$\big|S_r(Q)\big|^2 \leqslant \frac{2x^2}{Q\log Q} + \frac{2x}{Q}\cdot 2\tau(q)\sqrt{q}(\log q)\sum_{\substack{Q<p_1<p_2\leqslant Q_1\\(p_2-p_1,q)=\delta}}{}' \sqrt{\delta}.$$

Obviously, $\delta \leqslant p_2 - p_1 \leqslant Q$. Hence, the last sum does not exceed

$$\sum_{\delta|q,\ \delta\leqslant Q}\sqrt{\delta}\sum_{Q<p_1\leqslant Q_1}\sum_{\substack{p_2\equiv p_1 (\mathrm{mod}\,\delta)\\ Q<p_2\leqslant Q_1}} 1 \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{\delta|q,\ \delta\leqslant Q}\sqrt{\delta}\sum_{Q<p_1\leqslant Q_1}\left(\frac{Q}{\delta}+1\right) \leqslant 2Q\sum_{\delta|q,\ \delta\leqslant Q}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}\sum_{Q<p_1\leqslant Q_1} 1 <$$

$$< 2Q\pi(Q_1)\sum_{\delta|q}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} < \frac{5Q^2\tau(q)}{\log Q}.$$

Therefore,

$$\big|S_r(Q)\big|^2 \leqslant \frac{2x^2}{Q\log Q} + \frac{20xQ(\log q)}{\log Q}\tau^2(q)\sqrt{q} < \frac{2x^2}{Q\log Q} + xQ(\log q)\tau^2(q)\sqrt{q}$$

and hence

$$\big|S_r(Q)\big| \leqslant \frac{1.5x}{\sqrt{Q\log Q}} + \tau(q)\big(xQ\sqrt{q}\log q\big)^{1/2} < x\left(\frac{1.5}{\sqrt{Q\log Q}} + \tau(q)\left(\frac{Q\sqrt{q}\log q}{x}\right)^{1/2}\right). \tag{5}$$

Taking $Q = 2^kX$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k_0$, where $2^{k_0}X \leqslant Y < 2^{k_0+1}X$ and summing both parts of (5) over k, we obtain:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\big|S_r(Q)\big| < x\left(1.5\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}\frac{2^{-k/2}}{\sqrt{X\log X}} + \tau(q)\left(\frac{\sqrt{q}\log q}{x}\right)^{1/2}\sum_{k=0}^{k_0}(2^kX)^{1/2}\right) <$$

$$< \frac{7x}{2}\left(\frac{1.5}{\sqrt{X\log X}} + \tau(q)\left(\frac{Y\sqrt{q}\log q}{x}\right)^{1/2}\right),$$

$$|S_r| < \frac{7x}{2r}\left(\frac{1.5}{\sqrt{X\log X}} + \tau(q)\left(\frac{Y\sqrt{q}\log q}{x}\right)^{1/2}\right) + s_r + \frac{xX^{-1}}{r}.$$

Summing over r, $1 \leqslant r \leqslant (\log x)/\log X$ and noting that

$$\sum_{r \geqslant 1} s_r \,=\, \sum_{p \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{r \geqslant 1} \sum_{\substack{n \in A_r \\ n \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\, p^2)}} 1 \leqslant \sum_{p \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\ n \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\, p^2)}} 1 \leqslant \sum_{p > X} \frac{x}{p^2} \,<\, xX^{-1},$$

we find that

$$\sum_{r \geqslant 1} |S_r| \,<\, \frac{7x}{2}\left(\frac{1.5}{\sqrt{X \log X}} \,+\, \tau(q)\left(\frac{\sqrt{q}\log q}{x}\right)^{1/2}\right) \log\log x \,+\, \frac{2x}{X}\log\log x \,<$$

$$<\, \frac{7x}{2}\left(\frac{1.5}{\sqrt{X \log X}} \,+\, \tau(q)\left(\frac{Y\sqrt{q}\log q}{x}\right)^{1/2} \,+\, \frac{4}{7X}\right) \log\log q \,<$$

$$<\, \frac{7x}{2}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{X \log X}} \,+\, \tau(q)\left(\frac{Y\sqrt{q}\log q}{x}\right)^{1/2}\right) \log\log q.$$

Finally we get:

$$|S| \leqslant (C+3)\, x\, \frac{\log X}{\log Y} \,+\, \frac{7x}{2}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{X \log X}} \,+\, \tau(q)\left(\frac{Y\sqrt{q}\log q}{x}\right)^{1/2}\right) \log\log q \,=$$

$$=\, x\left((C+3)\, \frac{\log X}{\log Y} \,+\, \frac{4\log\log x}{\sqrt{X\log X}} \,+\, \frac{7}{2}\,\tau(q)\left(\frac{Y}{x}\,\sqrt{q}(\log q)(\log\log q)^2\right)^{1/2}\right).$$

Lemma is proved. $\square$

Now theorems 1–4 easily follow from lemma 5. Indeed, if $x \geqslant q^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ then we take $X = (\log q)^2$, $Y = q^{\,\varepsilon/4}$ and suppose q to be so large that $\tau(q) \leqslant q^{\,\varepsilon/9}$. By lemma 5, we get

$$\Delta_1 \leqslant 8\,\varepsilon^{-1}(C+3)\,\frac{\log\log q}{\log q}, \quad \Delta_2 \leqslant \frac{7}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sqrt{\log\log q}}{\log q},$$

$$\Delta_3 \leqslant \frac{7}{2}\, q^{\,\varepsilon/9}\left(\frac{q^{1/2+\varepsilon/4}(\log q)}{q^{1/2+\varepsilon}}\right)^{1/2} \log\log q \,<\, q^{-\,\varepsilon/4}.$$

Since $8(C+3) < 561.7$, then theorem 1 follows.

Further, if $x \geqslant \sqrt{q}\, e^{(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}$, $\tau(q) \leqslant e^{0.25(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}$ then we set $X = (\log\log X)^{4+2\gamma}$, $Y = e^{0.25(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}$. Lemma 5 gives

$$\Delta_1 \leqslant 8(2+\gamma)(C+3)\,\frac{\log\log\log q}{(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}, \quad \Delta_2 \leqslant \frac{7}{\sqrt{2(2+\gamma)}}\,\frac{(\log\log\log q)^{-0.5}}{(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}},$$

$$\Delta_3 \leqslant e^{0.25(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{q}\,(\log q)e^{0.25(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}}{\sqrt{q}\,e^{(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}}\right)^{1/2} \,<\, e^{-0.1(\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}},$$

and we arrive at theorem 2.

Next, if $x \geqslant \sqrt{q}\,(\log q)^{1+2\gamma}$, $\tau(q) \leqslant (\log q)^{\gamma/4}$ then, setting $X = (\log\log q)^4$, $Y = (\log q)^{\gamma}$ we obtain

$$\Delta_1 \leqslant 4(C+3)\,\frac{\log\log\log q}{\gamma\log\log q}, \quad \Delta_2 \leqslant \frac{7(\log\log\log q)^{-0.5}}{2\log\log q},$$
$$\Delta_3 \leqslant (\log q)^{\gamma/4}\left(\frac{\sqrt{q}\,(\log q)^{1+\gamma}}{\sqrt{q}\,(\log q)^{1+2\gamma}}\right)^{1/2}\log\log q \,<\, (\log q)^{-\gamma/5}.$$

Since $4(C+3) < 280.9$ we get the assertion of theorem 3.

Finally, in the case of prime q and $x \geqslant \sqrt{q}\,(\log q)e^{(\log\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}$ we set $X = (\log\log q)^4$, $Y = e^{0.5(\log\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}$ and then obtain

$$\Delta_1 \leqslant \frac{4(C+3)}{(\log\log\log q)^{\gamma}}, \quad \Delta_2 \leqslant \frac{(2\log\log\log q)^{-0.5}}{\log\log q},$$
$$\Delta_3 \leqslant 7\left(\frac{\sqrt{q}\,(\log q)e^{0.5(\log\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}(\log\log q)^2}{\sqrt{q}\,(\log q)e^{(\log\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}}\right)^{1/2} \,<\, e^{-0.1(\log\log\log q)^{1+\gamma}}.$$

Theorem 4 is proved. $\square$

We conclude this section with some remarks. Thus, in the case of prime q and $e^{(\log q)^{4/5}(\log\log q)^5} \leqslant x \leqslant q^{4/7}$, the sums $S_q(x;f)$ with $f(n) = \mu(n)$, $\mu^2(n)$, $\tau_k(n)$, $r(n) = \#\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \,|\, x^2+y^2 = n\}$ and so on, were estimated in [9]. Moreover, if q is prime then a slight modification of the arguments from [3], [10] leads to non-trivial bounds for the very short sums $S_q(x;f)$. Namely, the estimate

$$\sum_{n\leqslant x} f(n)e_q(an^*) \ll xD_1^{-1}, \quad D_1 \,=\, \frac{(\log x)^{3/2}}{\log q}\,(\log\log q)^{-2}$$

holds for any x, $e^{(\log q)^{2/3}(\log\log q)^{4/3}} < x \leqslant \sqrt{q}$, and the estimates

$$\sum_{n\leqslant x} f(n)e_q(an^*) \ll xD_2^{-1}\log D_2, \quad \sum_{n\leqslant x} f(n)e_q(an^*+bn) \ll xD_2^{-3/4},$$
$$D_2 \,=\, \frac{\log x}{(\log q)^{2/3}}\,(\log\log q)^{-1/3}$$

holds for $e^{(\log q)^{2/3}(\log\log q)^{1/3}} < x \leqslant \sqrt{q}$.

§5. Some particular cases of the sum $S_q(x;f)$

For some arithmetical functions f and for the prime moduli q, the sum $S_q(x;f)$ is estimated with power-saving factor for $x \geqslant q^{1/2+\varepsilon}$. Such estimates are based on the following very deep result of J. Bourgain [2].

Lemma 6. *Suppose that q is prime, $(a,q) = 1$, $0 < \varepsilon < 0.1$, and let M, M_1, N, N_1 satisfy the following conditions: $q^{\varepsilon} < M, N \leqslant \sqrt{q}$, $M < M_1 \leqslant 2M$, $N < N_1 \leqslant 2N$.*

Suppose also that complex-valued sequences α_m, β_n satisfy the inequalities $|\alpha_m| \leqslant \tau_\ell(m)$, $|\beta_n| \leqslant \tau_r(n)$ for some fixed $\ell, r \geqslant 1$. Then the sum

$$W \;=\; \sum_{M<m\leqslant M_1}\;\sum_{N<n\leqslant N_1} \alpha_m\beta_n e_q(am^*n^* + bmn) \tag{6}$$

obeys the estimate $|W| \leqslant c_1 MNq^{-c\varepsilon^4}$, where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant and $c_1 > 0$ depends on ε, ℓ and r.

The original paper of J. Bourgain [2] does not contain the precise expression for the decreasing factor $q^{-c\varepsilon^4}$. It's calculation was made by R.C. Baker [1] for the case $b \equiv 0 \pmod q$. However, the arguments of Baker can be adapted without big efforts for the case $(b, q) = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let us take $y = q^{\varepsilon/4}$, $F(n) = e_q(an^* + bn)$ in the identity

$$\sum_{n\leqslant x}\mu(n)F(n) \;=\; -\sum_{k\leqslant y}\mu(k)\sum_{m\leqslant y}\mu(m)\sum_{n\leqslant x/(km)} F(kmn) - \\ - \sum_{y<m\leqslant x/y} a_m \sum_{y<n\leqslant x/m}\mu(m)F(mn) \;+\; 2\sum_{n\leqslant y}\mu(n)F(n), \tag{7}$$

where

$$a_m \;=\; \sum_{d|m,\; d\leqslant y}\mu(d)$$

(see, for example, [8, ch. 2, §6, theorem 2]). Writing the right-hand side of (7) in the form $-\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2 + 2\Sigma_3$ (where the notations are evident) and using lemma 3, we get:

$$\bigl|\Sigma_1\bigr| \;\leqslant \sum_{k,m\leqslant y} 4\sqrt{q}\,\log q \;\leqslant\; 4y^2\sqrt{q}\,\log q \;<\; xq^{-\varepsilon/4}.$$

Further, we split the sum Σ_2 to $\ll (\log q)^2$ sums W of the type (6), but with the additional restriction $mn \leqslant x$. Setting $N_2 = \min(N_1, xm^{-1})$, $\alpha_m = a_m$, $\beta_n = \mu(n)$ we obtain:

$$W \;=\; \sum_{M<m\leqslant M_1}\;\sum_{N<n\leqslant N_1}\left(\frac{1}{q}\sum_{|c|<q/2}\;\sum_{N<\nu\leqslant N_2} e_q(c(n-\nu))\right)\alpha_m\beta_n e_q(am^*n^* + bmn) \;= \\ = \sum_{|c|<q/2}(|c|+1)^{-1}W_c,$$

where the sum W_c has the same form as the sum in (6), namely:

$$W_c \;=\; \sum_{M<m\leqslant M_1}\;\sum_{N<n\leqslant N_1}\alpha(m)\beta(n)e_q(am^*n^* + bmn),$$

$$\alpha(m) = \frac{|c|+1}{q}\sum_{N<\nu\leqslant N_2} e_q(-c\nu), \quad \beta(n) = e_q(cn)\beta_n.$$

Obviously, $|\alpha(m)| \leqslant |\alpha_m|$, $|\beta(m)| = |\beta_n|$. Using lemma 6, we find sequentially

$$W_c \ll MNq^{-c_1\varepsilon^4}, \quad W \ll MNq^{-c_1\varepsilon^4}(\log q), \quad \Sigma_2 \ll xq^{-c_1\varepsilon^4}(\log q)^3.$$

Estimating the sum Σ_3 trivially, we arrive at the desired assertion. $\square$

Lemma 6 allows one to estimate with power-saving factor and $x \geqslant q^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ the sums $S_q(x; f)$ where the arithmetical function f does not satisfy the condition $|f(n)| \leqslant 1$.

Proof of Theorem 6. In the case $k = 1$ the inequality (8) follows from lemma 3. Suppose that the estimate (8) holds for any sum $S_{k-1}(y)$ with $q^{1/2+\varepsilon} \leqslant y \leqslant q$ and then verify it for the sum $S_k(x)$. Setting $F(n) = e_q(an^* + bn)$ for brevity and using Dirichlet's hyperbola trick, we find

$$S_k(x) = \sum_{uv \leqslant x} \tau_{k-1}(u)F(uv) = \sum_{u \leqslant \sqrt{x}} \sum_{v \leqslant x/u} \tau_{k-1}(u)F(uv) + \\ + \sum_{u \leqslant \sqrt{x}} \sum_{v \leqslant x/u} \tau_{k-1}(v)F(uv) - \sum_{u,v \leqslant \sqrt{x}} \tau_{k-1}(u)F(uv). \quad (8)$$

Let $y = q^{\varepsilon/2}$. If $u \leqslant y$ ($v \leqslant y$) then $xu^{-1} \geqslant q^{1/2+\varepsilon/2}$ (correspondingly, $xv^{-1} \geqslant q^{1/2+\varepsilon/2}$). By induction, the contribution to $S_k(x)$ from the terms with $u \leqslant y$ in the first sum in (8), from the terms with $v \leqslant y$ in the second sum and from the terms with $\min(u, v) \leqslant y$ in the last sum in (8) is estimated by $O\big(xq^{-c\varepsilon^4}\big)$ in absolute value.

Further, the contribution from the terms with $v \leqslant x^{1/4}$ in the first sum in (8) and with $u \leqslant x^{1/4}$ in the second sum does not exceed $x^{3/4}(\log q)^{k-2} \ll xq^{-1/8}$. Hence,

$$S_k(x) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_3 + O\big(xq^{-c\varepsilon^4}\big),$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{y < u \leqslant \sqrt{x}} \sum_{x^{1/4} < v \leqslant x/u} \tau_{k-1}(u)F(uv), \quad \Sigma_2 = \sum_{y < u \leqslant \sqrt{x}} \sum_{x^{1/4} < v \leqslant x/v} \tau_{k-1}(v)F(uv),$$

$$\Sigma_3 = \sum_{y < u,v \leqslant \sqrt{x}} \tau_{k-1}(u)F(uv).$$

We split every sum Σ_j, $j = 1, 2, 3$, to $\ll (\log q)^2$ sums W of the type (6), but with the additional restriction $uv \leqslant x$ (in the cases $j = 1, 2$). Using the same arguments as above, we get $\Sigma_j \ll xq^{-c\varepsilon^4}$. Theorem is proved. $\square$

Bibliography

[1] Baker R.C. Kloosterman sums with prime variable // *Acta Arith.*, **152**:4 (2012), 351–372.

[2] Bourgain J. More on the sum-product phenomenon in prime fields and its applications // *Int. J. Number Theory*, **1** (2005), 1–32.

[3] Bourgain J., Garaev M.Z. Sumsets of reciprocals in prime fields and multilinear Kloosterman sums // *Izv. RAN. Ser. Mat.*, **78**:4 (2014), 19–72.

[4] Deng P. On Kloosterman sums with oscillating coefficients // *Canad. Math. Bull.* **42**:3 (1999), 285–290.

[5] Estermann T. On Kloosterman's sum // *Mathematika* **8**:1 (1961), 83–86.

[6] Gong K., Jia C. Kloosterman sums with multiplicative coefficients // *Sci. China Math.* **59**:4 (2016), 653–660.

[7] Hajela D., Pollington A., Smith B. On Kloosterman sums with oscillating coefficients // *Canad. Math. Bull.* **31**:1 (1988), 32–36.

[8] Karatsuba A.A., Voronin S.M. The Reimann Zeta-Function. Berlin, New York, W. de Gruyter, 1992.

[9] Korolev M.A. Short Kloosterman sums with weights // *Math. Notes*, **88**:3 (2010), 374–385.

[10] Korolev M.A. On Karatsuba's method of estimating of Kloosterman sums // *Mat. sbornik*, **207**:8 (2016), 117–134.

[11] Korolev M.A. Short Kloosterman sums of modulo prime // *Math. Notes*, **100**:6 (2016) (to appear).

[12] Prachar K. Primzahlverteilung. Springer-Verlag, 1957.

[13] Rosser J. B., Schoenfeld L. Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers // *Illinois J. Math.*, **6**:1. (1962), 64 -94.

[14] Tenenbaum G. Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory. Cambr. Univ. Press, 1995.

[15] Wang G., Zheng Z. Kloosterman sums with oscillating coefficients // *Chinese Ann. Math.*, **19** (1998), 237–242 (Chinese); English transl.: *Chinese J. Contemp. Math.* **19** (1998), 185–191.

[16] Weil A. On some exponential sums // *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **34** (1948), 204–207.

Maxim Aleksandrovich Korolev
Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences
119991, Russia, Moscow, Gubkina str., 8
e-mail: korolevma@mi.ras.ru